UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,477	06/14/2006	Mark Brian Dowty	965/200US	7031
23638 7590 05/15/2009 ADAMS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, P.A. Suite 2350 Charlotte Plaza			EXAMINER	
			EDELL, JOSEPH F	
201 South College Street CHARLOTTE, NC 28244			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/596,477	DOWTY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOSEPH F. EDELL	3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Fe</u>	hruary 2009					
,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
<u>, </u>						
6) Claim(s) 9,10 and 22 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- 1 - 4 4					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 June 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 1,435,744 to Santaniello.

Santaniello discloses a seat that includes all the limitations recited in claims 9 and 10. Santaniello shows a seat having a frame 6 (see Figs. 1 & 3) capable of being attached to a vehicle floor 7, a seat bottom 5 disposed on the frame, a footrest 13,14 disposed forward of the seat bottom with each foot in a different longitudinal position relative to the seat bottom, spaced apart tracks (formed by lugs 8,8) extending longitudinally along the vehicle floor and for moveably mounting each footrest, a first block (top block of Fig. 2) of the footrest mounted on one of the spaced apart tracks for generally linear translation relative to the seat bottom, and a second block (bottom block of Fig. 2) of the footrest mounted on the other of the spaced apart tracks and for generally linear translation relative to the seat bottom.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Page 3

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santaniello in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,145,931 to Subotic.

Santaniello discloses a seat that is basically the same as that recited in claim 22 except that the blocks' material is not specified, as recited in the claim. See Figures 1 and 3 of Santaniello for the teaching that each of the first and second blocks has a tapered foot-supporting surface. Subotic shows a seat similar to that of Santaniello wherein the seat has a footrest block (see Fig. 5) with a tapered foot-supporting surface 74,76 formed of resilient material 76. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the seat of Santaniello such that the foot-supporting surface is formed of a resilient material, such as the seat disclosed by Subotic. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in view of the suggestion in Subotic that the resilient material of the foot-supporting surface adds grip to the tapered surface.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 17 February 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Santaniello fails to teach every element of amended claim 9 because Santaniello's footrests are not moveably mounted on spaced apart tracks extending longitudinally along the floor of the vehicle for permitting movement of the footrest relative to the seat bottom. However, Santaniello clearly

Page 4

Art Unit: 3636

shows in Figure 3 that the tracks formed by the lugs 8,8 extend longitudinally along the vehicle floor (platform 7) where each footrest 13,14 is movably mounted on respective tracks for movement relative to the seat bottom. Up consideration of Applicant's arguments, Examiner maintains the rejection of claims 9 and 10 as being anticipated by Santaniello. Please note that claims 11 and 12 are drawn to the Species V, shown in Figure 11. Accordingly, claims 11 and 12 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,477 Page 5

Art Unit: 3636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Edell whose telephone number is (571) 272-6858. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30am-5:00pm.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Joseph F Edell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3636 May 14, 2009